

As a matter of notation, you would find the argument easier to follow if instead of G_i you wrote H_i for the subgrp. This would save you a lot of trouble. $H_i = G_i \cap H$. (11)

The second condition requires we prove $\frac{G_{i+1} \cap G'}{G_i \cap G'}$ is Abelian. If we can prove it is cyclic, then we know it is Abelian. (see 25 for supersolubility)

$$G_i \leq G_{i+1} \Rightarrow G_i \cap G' \leq G_{i+1} \cap G'$$

From the 2nd Isomorphism Theorem with $N = G_i$ and $H = G_{i+1} \cap G'$

$$\frac{G_i (G_{i+1} \cap G')}{G_i} \cong \frac{G_{i+1} \cap G'}{G_i \cap (G_{i+1} \cap G')}$$

But $\frac{G_{i+1} \cap G'}{G_i \cap (G_{i+1} \cap G')} \cong \frac{G_i (G_{i+1} \cap G')}{G_i} \leq \frac{G_{i+1}}{G_i}$
 and $\frac{G_{i+1}}{G_i}$ is cyclic

Every subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic, hence Abelian. (see 25 for supersolubility)

Condition b) is satisfied: every subgroup of a supersoluble group is soluble.

89
10

iv) We use part i), and the technique of intersecting the chain, then it becomes a proof by contradiction. (all the while you didn't really get it)

Suppose $n \geq 4$ and G_n is supersoluble. then there exists a finite chain of subgroups.

$$1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = S_n$$

such that

- $G_i \triangleleft G_{i+1}$
- G_{i+1}/G_i is cyclic

Take the intersection of each G_i with S_4 . Note $S_4 \leq S_n$ as $n \geq 4$

$$1 = G_0 \cap S_4 \leq G_1 \cap S_4 \leq \dots \leq G_n \cap S_4 \leq S_4$$

Then by iii) S_4 is supersoluble, a contradiction. S_n not supersoluble.

4-
2