

Question 13

- (i) Let ϕ be the subformula $x = y$,
 ψ the subformula $\forall x x = y$
 and θ the subformula $\exists x(x = y \vee \forall x x = y)$.

Then the formula becomes

$$(((\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow (-\theta \rightarrow -\phi))$$

which has truth table

$((\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \theta)$	\rightarrow	$(-\theta \rightarrow -\phi)$
1 1 1	1	1
1 1 1	0	1
1 1 0	1	1
1 1 0	0	1
0 1 1	1	1
0 1 1	0	1
0 0 0	1	1
0 0 0	1	0

This table shows that the formula is true under all interpretations.

- (ii) (a) 1 on line (1); 2 on line (2); 3 on line (3);
 2 on line (4); 5 on line (5); 2 on line (6);
 2,3,5 on line (7); 2,3 on line (8);
 2,3 on line (9); 1,2 on line (10).
 (b) $(((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \& \chi) \& -\psi) \rightarrow -(-\chi \vee \phi)$
 (c) (A) NO,
 (B) YES.
 (iii) (a) Take both ϕ and ψ to be $x = x$. (Any ϕ and ψ for which $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a tautology will do.)
 (b) Take ϕ to be $\forall x x = x$ and ψ to be $x = x$.

Question 14

- (i) (a) YES;
 (b) NO;
 (c) YES;

- (ii) (a)
- | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------------|----------------|
| 1 | (1) | $-\exists x\phi$ | Ass |
| 2 | (2) | ϕ | Ass |
| 2 | (3) | $\exists x\phi$ | EI, (2) |
| 1, 2 | (4) | $(\psi \& -\psi)$ | Taut, (1), (3) |

(b) Add the following lines to the proof in (a).

- | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | (5) | $(\phi \rightarrow (\psi \& -\psi))$ | CP, (4) |
| 1 | (6) | $-\phi$ | Taut, (5) |
| 1 | (7) | $\forall x -\phi$ | UI, (6) |

- (c)
- | | | | |
|------|-----|---|----------------|
| 1 | (1) | $\forall x -\phi$ | Ass |
| 2 | (2) | $\exists x\phi$ | Ass |
| 3 | (3) | ϕ | Ass |
| 1 | (4) | $-\phi$ | UE, (1) |
| 1, 3 | (5) | $(\psi \& -\psi)$ | Taut, (3), (4) |
| 1, 2 | (6) | $(\psi \& -\psi)$ | EH, (5) |
| 1 | (7) | $(\exists x\phi \rightarrow (\psi \& -\psi))$ | CP, (6) |
| 1 | (8) | $-\exists x\phi$ | Taut, (7) |

(Note that the use of EH would have been invalid, had we used $(\phi \& -\phi)$ instead of $(\psi \& -\psi)$ throughout.)