

Data Collection and Processing

&

Conclusion and Evaluation

**Investigation into the Enzyme Activity of  
Catalyze in the Decomposition of Hydrogen  
Peroxide while exposed to different substrate  
concentrations**

Student: Nico Palesch

Course: Biology HL IB 11

Teacher: Mrs. Hardy

School: Nelson Mandela School Berlin

## Procedure Background

In this pre-designed procedure the enzyme activity of catalase in a potato was tested, in the form of measuring the volume of the Oxygen ( $O_2$ ) released by a catalase - hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) reaction in the span of one minute. The more oxygen released by the reaction the higher the enzyme activity was assumed to be, as more of the product was released in the same period of time.

The variable to be tested was the substrate concentration of the reaction, in this case the hydrogen peroxide. In each set of trials more hydrogen peroxide was added to the reaction, in order to increase the substrate concentration, but in each set the time limit was strictly one minute ( $\pm 0.1$  milliseconds), to make sure that the resulting oxygen volume was a reflection of the enzyme activity, and not simply a reflection of the amount of hydrogen peroxide acted upon. In each trial a  $1\text{ cm}^3$  ( $\pm 0.05\text{ cm}^3$ ) potato was used, and the different amounts of hydrogen peroxide used were 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25, and 1.5 ml ( $\pm 0.5$  ml).

To further catalyze the experiment and to make the oxygen released clearly visible in the form of bubbles both 10 grams ( $\pm 0.05$  grams) of potassium iodide and 0.5 ml ( $\pm 0.5$  ml) of detergent were added to the potato before the addition of the hydrogen peroxide.

## Quantative Data

### TABLE OF RESULTS

Amount of Hydrogen Peroxide (ml $\pm$ 0.05 ml)	Volume of $O_2$ (ml $\pm$ 0.05 ml) produced in 1 minute ( $\pm$ 0.1 milliseconds)					
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Average
<b>0.50</b>	9.0	8.0	7.0	10.0	9.0	8.6
<b>0.75</b>	19.0	16.0	19.0	21.0	21.0	19.2
<b>1.00</b>	43.0*	28.0	27.0	26.0	24.0	26.3
<b>1.25</b>	35.0	27.0*	37.0	34.0	33.0	34.8

<b>1.50</b>	44.0	40.0	46.0	41.0	41.0	42.4
-------------	------	------	------	------	------	------

\*These results deviated considerably from the other results in their respective sets that they were considered anomalies, and were therefore not considered in further analysis, including the calculations used to find the averages and standard deviations of each set.

### **Qualitative Data**

- In set one, where 0.50 ml of hydrogen peroxide was added, the column of oxygen rose unevenly, as the bubbles rise faster on one side of the cylinder than the other.
- In all sets the color of the middle of the column of oxygen was a distinct yellow, and at both the top and bottom ends was a faded orange.
- The more hydrogen peroxide used in each trial, the faster the column would rise.
- Initially, in approximately the first 5 seconds after adding the hydrogen peroxide, the column of oxygen bubbles rose minimally. ▲After this first phase the rate would speed up, and consistently rise at the same pace until about a minute had gone by, at which point the rate of rising fell again.
- ▲After the reaction had taken place the pieces of potato used remained intact, but the surface consistently turned black.

### **Calculating the Average**

▲As can be seen in the above table, for each set of 5 trials with a different amount of hydrogen peroxide used there were 5 separate, and usually similar results. These 5 results were used then to calculate the average, or mean, of that set in order to find the statistical middle of the results. The purpose of finding the average is to boil down the 5 results and to find the general picture of the values, which then makes the process of comparing the results of the separate sets far easier.

To calculate the average of the different sets I added all of the values of a set together, and then divided them by the number of values added, which in the normal case was 5. For example, to find the average of the first set, where 0.50 ml of hydrogen peroxide was added I added the values 9, 8,

7, 10, and 9 together to get 43, which I then divided by 5 to get the average, namely 8.6.

Sets 3 and 4 were complicated by the fact that in both sets there were values that can be classified as anomalies, as they both deviated from the rest of the values in that set so much that they could not be considered when calculating the average, and must therefore be disregarded, as for some reason those trials failed. In these cases I used the same procedure as for the other sets, but instead of dividing by 5 in the end I divided by 4, as the anomaly was not considered. Therefore, the calculations for Set 4 looked like this:

$$35 + 37 + 34 + 33 = 139$$

$$139 : 4 = 34.75$$

$$\approx 34.8$$

### Calculating the Standard Deviation

The next step in the statistical analysis of the data was to find the Standard Deviation (SD) of each set of trials. The reason for finding the SD is to be able to see how much the results tend to diverge from the average, and therefore be able to see how accurate and consistent your results are. If there is a small SD it means the results tend to be close to the average result, meaning that they are all relatively close together. If the SD is a large number, it means the results are far away from each other, meaning your results are not that consistent.

In order to find the SD I used the following formula:

The following table shows the standard deviation of the different sets of trials.

<b>Amount of Hydrogen Peroxide (ml +/- 0.05 ml) used in Set of Trials</b>	<b>Standard Deviation of the Set of Trials</b>
0.50	1.1
0.75	2.04
1.00	1.7
1.25	1.7

1.50	2.5
------	-----