

The police carried out the incrimination reading on the hard drive, which can reveal the content of all e-mail even if one deletes the information to see if it was sent from our computer. However there was no e-mail content found on our hard drive.

At the interview I had asked the case officer pc Bartlet to show me the evidence, which shows that the e-mail has emanated from our computer he was unable to show me any evidence.

I had asked Mr John Howells to provide me evidence that proves that the email has emanated from our computer he declined and failed to provide any information in the shape of evidence that the e-mail has emanated from our computer.

I again asked for evidence from the school after the fixed term exclusion and then once again after the permanent exclusion, the school should make information accessible to me, which is damaging my son's education. Also without information available to me you cannot reasonably expect me to defend us from this allegation satisfactorily.

There has not been even a shred of evidence provided to me but the school and the governing body along with the exclusion officer Chris Warner unfairly made two decisions against my son which has detrimental effect on his education.

Whilst my son Araz being excluded from school every time he needed work from school I had to ring several times and make a number of physical visits in order to obtain work, even the work which was provided after this great effort the work was given without proper instructions to tell him what is expected from each piece of work.

Chris Warner dictated all the decisions for the governors and school. I believe that she is abusing her power by influencing decisions.

As from the beginning I gave her the information that CRE commission for racial equality are involved so she wants to make sure that the decisions are made against my son so that so that the racial discrimination carried out by the school is not proven as I had told her that both of my sons have suffered racial discrimination and victimisation in the hand of the this school. For example on five different occasions the police, due to biased and total inaccurate information provided to them by Mr John Howells, police arrested my sons. After police investigations on four occasions my sons were proven innocent, in fact we have received letters from victim support in Dudley who offered help.

I also informed Chris Warner after admission by Mr Tim Jones of the pupil referral unit 'that we cannot teach Mohammed Araz to his needs as he is in high groups in many subjects. So I requested to her that the lea should provide appropriate teachers to meet the needs of Mohammed Araz for example give him tuition now the things that the pupil referral unit cannot teach. She replied 'you should be grateful for the help you are already getting as I don't have to provide any education for him whilst the appeal is going on, I am breaking the law to provide you with this help.

After the permanent exclusion of my son I had spoken with Chris Warner again where I stated that 'I will be making an appeal against the decision'. Either my solicitor or myself could not attend the meeting.

As the 7th October meeting was suspended due to objection by my solicitor Mr Gill, for not taking consideration by Chris and school for allowing a teacher being part of the sitting governors.

Even though Chris Warner then admitted that in the light case law it is not recommended having a teacher governor.

If my solicitor did not object then Chris Warner would have been prepared to proceed with this meeting.

1. I would like you to write to the police to provide a copy of their report that was prepared by the Hi-tech unit.
2. Also I would like you to write to the school to ask them to provide all the information they are holding against my son and that they include they of the fix term and permanent exclusion meeting.
3. The teaching, learning and leadership at the pupil referral unit are not up to standard for my son Mohamed Araz. So therefore the LEA are responsible for their negligence.
4. The LEA seems to accept that the pupil referral unit cannot provide reasonable education recourses where low and poor education is acceptable by the exclusion officer Chris Warner although poor skill and poor educated related jobs are no longer available.
5. The school has failed to provide proper instructed work, which has been given to my son Mohammed Araz from 1st July upon till now.
6. The law requires that proof should not be on the ordinary balance of probabilities but it should be distinctly more probable that the e-mail has emanated from this computer and its contents were found on the hard drive when examined by the hi-tech police unit, via incrimination reading method can reveal all the content which a person may delete after sending indecent nature e-mail.

There were not satisfactory arrangements made for my son Mohammed Araz while away from school.

The governor had not heard my representation as I had written a letter explaining my difficulties in which I stated about those difficulties of why my solicitor or me could not attend that meeting.

Therefore they had failed to consider my request to reconvene the meeting, which led to failing to consider relevant facts of the matter.

Responsibility of lea where exclusion is up held to provide sufficient education to meet needs of a pupil whilst he/she is out of school.

As there is clearly doubt that the e-mail has emanated from our computer that I believe the penal must reinstate my son Mohammed Araz.

Even if there is evidence that the e-mail has emanated from our address and computer.

There are four other students who have had access to my computer and Miss Sarah Johnson has taught them all. As Araz is predominant that he did not send the e-mail also Araz has always been with Mr Fitzpatrick at dinner times since 2001 to July 2002.

Possible reasons for others could have done it.

1. As Araz is always bragging that he will achieve better results than any one in out of his cousins or they could have done it for a joke.
2. A schoolteacher may have sent the e-mail by using school computer by putting the false information, which appeared to be on the e-mail, is inaccurate.
3. Mr John Howells has grudge against me since I called him a member of the Jewish lobby's freemason.
4. He may have a connection in America who he has to conspire this to damage my son's future as he has tried to do so to my other son Mohammed Imraz.
5. It could be the case that we used to log on www.jewishpropaganda.com.