

Task 3 – Production of a Pond Kit Modelling Calculator

Design

In terms of the input, there is very little data that needs to be input in order for the calculations to be performed. The variable inputs would only be the length, width and depth of the pond (from the customer's specification) which would be inserted manually. This would work alongside data embedded in the formula, relating to the cost of the products involved (for example, the cost of the pond liner would be determined using the length/width specification **and** the cost of the lining). This price information would be obtained from the candidate booklet.

The mainstay of the task here lies in the processing. A variety of formulae will be implemented. The way in which they are used is linear, allowing progression through the customer's report so each cost is accounted for:

The customer enters the length/width/depth data in metres



The length/width of the pond liner/underliner is calculated using the formula $(2.5 \times \text{depth}) + \text{Length}$ or depth respectively. From this the area of the liners are calculated ($\text{length of liner} \times \text{width of liner}$) as well as the perimeter ($2 \times (\text{length of liner} + \text{width of liner})$). The overall cost of the liner/underliner is determined by multiplying the area of the lining by £4.40 (for the liner) and by £0.90 (for the underliner) and combining the sums.



The next (and final step) involves first working out the number and cost of the underwater plant bunches. This is done by creating a formula that multiplies the area of liner by 5 (as there are 5 bunches to every m^2 of liner). However in addition to this, since fractions of plant bunches cannot be sold, the number must be rounded up, regardless of the decimal figure. The cost of these plants would be arrived at by multiplying the number by £0.50. The next stage is to work out the number and cost of the decorative plant packs. This is done by multiplying the perimeter of the pond by 1, and applying the same rounding up procedure as for the underwater plant bunches. The cost is obtained by multiplying the number of packs by £3.50. The final step is to obtain the sum of the liners, underwater plants, decorative plant packs and in addition a water lily (£8.50) and a fountain (£55.00)

The output at the end of this should be an A4 printed report that takes up one side. The customer's name and the Plant House Garden Centre will head the page and descending the page will be distinct sections detailing the parameters and cost of the liner, underwater plants, decorative plant packs, additional items and the overall cost.

In order to fulfil each section of the task I need an appropriate spreadsheet software package – and for that I have chosen Microsoft[©] Excel 97/XP. It is a well – renowned piece of software and is specifically ideal for the following features:

- It has a function ability which allows the user to enter specific commands to which the system automatically calculates without user intervention or repetition of the same calculation. This would be particularly useful to find the sum of all the individual costs, without manually adding them up. Another specific example of this is the roundup function which is very helpful when determining the number of underwater plant bunches/decorative plant packs.
- It has the ability to extend decimal places which is useful for the accurate representation of for example pond liner dimensions and cost.
- It has an array of formatting functions, e.g. the addition of colour and reformatting text, allowing the positioning and aesthetics of the report to be good for a clear report – importantly the bold and underline functions accentuate important text, especially the overall cost sections of say the liners and underwater plant bunches, allowing for quick summary of costs
- In ensuring the effectiveness of the individual formula, a formula view option is available which allows for the quick checking and correction of complicated formula, such as perimeter of pond liner – crucial for determining the number of decorative plant packs there should be.

There will be two tests performed to ensure the effectiveness of the calculation systems:

1. A pre-set and pre-solved set of dimensions (2m x 1.5m x 1) and calculation stages will have been made and at each stage of function, the answer will be checked against what the spreadsheet gives to pinpoint any error.
2. An unsolved problem (where the dimensions are 3m x 2m, depth unknown) will be presented and trial and error will be used to find a depth value that results in a pond kit valuing **under** £300. This will of course be checked against manual calculations.

Implementation

Testing

Worked Example (where pond length = 2m, pond width = 1.5m, pond depth = 1m)

Tested Component	Manual Calculation
Length of Pond Liner/Underliner (m)	$2.5 \times 1 + 2 = 4.5$
Width of Pond Liner/Underliner (m)	$2.5 \times 1 + 1.5 = 4.0$
Area of Pond Liner/Underliner (m squared)	$4.5 \times 4 = 18.00$
Perimeter of Pond Liner/Underliner (m)	$2 \times (4.5 + 4) = 17.00$
Total Cost of Pond Liner (£)	$4.40 \times 18 = 79.20$
Total Cost of Pond Underliner (£)	$0.90 \times 18 = 16.20$
Overall Cost of Pond Lining/Underlining (£)	$79.20 + 16.20 = 95.40$
Number of Underwater Plant Bunches	$5 \times 18 = 90$
Overall Cost of Underwater Plant Bunches (£)	$0.5 \times 90 = 45$
Number of Decorative Plant Packs	$17 \times 1 = 17$
Overall Cost of Decorative Plant Packs (£)	$3.50 \times 17 = 59.50$
Water Lily (£)	8.50
Fountain (£)	55.00
Total Cost of Pond Kit (£)	$95.40 + 45 + 59.50 + 8.50 + 55.00 = 263.40$

Spreadsheet Result	Validity
4.50	CORRECT
4.00	CORRECT
18.00	CORRECT
17.00	CORRECT
79.20	CORRECT
16.20	CORRECT
95.40	CORRECT
90.00	CORRECT
45.00	CORRECT
17.00	CORRECT
59.50	CORRECT
8.50	CORRECT
55.00	CORRECT
263.40	CORRECT

From this test, I can conclude no alterations need to be made (see implementation section for verification of these results)

Unsolved Example (where the length is 3m, the width is 2m and the depth is unknown an trial and improvement must be used to find its maximum value)

(For verification of these results, see implementation section)

Depth = 1m → £326.68 → TOO BIG

Depth = 0.85m → £295.05 → NOT CLOSE ENOUGH

Depth = 0.87m → £299.01 → CLOSE AS POSSIBLE

So it seems 3m x 2m x 0.87m is the optimum solution. A manual calculation is a way to check this

Liner Length = $2.5 \times 0.87 + 3 = 5.28$

Liner Width = $2.5 \times 0.87 + 2 = 4.18$

Liner Area = $5.28 \times 4.18 = 21.61$

Cost of Liner = $21.61 \times 4.40 = 95.06$

Cost of Underliner = $21.61 \times 0.90 = 19.45$

Cost of Underwater Plant Bunches = $5 \times 21.61 = 109$ (rounded up from 108.05) x 0.5

Cost of Decorative Plant Packs = 19 (rounded from 18.70) x 3.50 = 66.50

Total (including Water Lily and Fountain) = 299.00

Again, my spreadsheet has had its results verified so I feel no need to alter the formula structure of my spreadsheet

Evaluation

The desired outcome of this task was to create a spreadsheet system that employed effectively linked formulae to give an accurately modelled pricing system for a pond. My performance criteria were that it had to be clearly presented on one side of A4, with all formulae working accurately to give a reliable result, along with the appropriate input and embedded data, and that it was visually pleasing. Overall I can say I have achieved this – the testing results show that all my formula link in a linear way, but retain their accuracy in giving results, especially with the round up feature. Given that, my results are extremely accurate, but could be improved by removing the decimal point constraints on measurements for full accuracy. Of its cosmetics, I can say that it has been clearly presented on A4 and the block colour differentiation of section adds to the clarity, but still remains relatively proletarian in image. If I had more time, I would have liked to link to a word processor, so DTP could have improved the output design.