Photosynthesis

Photosynthesisto find effects of distance of a light source from pond weed has on the amount of

oxygen produced Photosynthesis All green plants need to be able to make their own

food. They do this by a process called photosynthesis. For photosynthesis to occur they need $\,$

sunlight energy. This energy is absorbed by a green pigment called chlorophyll, which is mainly

found in the leaves. This energy then combinEs with water molecules (from the soil) and carbon

dioxide (from the air). Then as a result of this, a type of sugar is produced . This is called

glucose. Also oxygen is made. For my experiment I have chosen to use light distance as my

variable. This means that to carry out a fair test everything else must be kept the same during the

experiment. Hypothesis \mbox{I} think that as the light source (desk lamp) is moved closer to the

pondweed, the rate that oxygen is produced will increase therefore more oxygen willbe

produced and also more glucose. I believe this will happen because when the the light source is

nearer to the plant more of the plants surface area is coming in to contact with the light from the $\$

desklamp therefore more photosynthesis will occur which will mean more oxygen and glucose

will be produced. Apparatus \cdot Funnel. \cdot Measuring cylinder. \cdot Stop watch. \cdot Card board and

foil(used to fillter the light). \cdot Beaker. \cdot Desklamp. \cdot one metre ruler stick. \cdot Balance. \cdot

Pondweed. \cdot Carbon dioxide Powder. \cdot Water. \cdot Scapular. Fair Test To ensure that a fair test

is carried out the following things must be done $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ The same pondweed must be used every time

we change the light distance. \cdot Do th e experiment three times for each distance to get an

accurate average. $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ There must only be one variable and that is the distance of the light source

from the plant. \cdot Chose a value for carbon dioxide mixture and keep at the same value allthe

way through the experiment(3 grams). \cdot Keep the experiment at a constant 20degres (this is

because more oxygen is produced at this temperature). $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Take results after three minutes for

each induvidual experiment. Safety \cdot Follow lab safety rules (eg no running bags at back etc). \cdot

keep the desklamp away from contact with water. \cdot Be careful when using scapuar. Method $\,\cdot\,$

Collect apparatus. \cdot Set up apParatus as in diagram. \cdot Fill measuring cylinder and beaker with

water. \cdot Add carbon dioxide mixture to water. \cdot Set up desklamp in correct position(eg 10cm). \cdot

Turn on desklamp and start stopwatch. \cdot Count the bubbles for the next three minutes and also

make a note of the change in volume. \cdot Record your results. \cdot Repeat experiment twice more for distance 10 cm and then do the same with distance 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100 cm.

Results These are my results Because my results did not go entirely to plan(because my

pondweed was not photosynthesising quick enough) I have been given a past years results

which I will now usS as my results instead. They are as follows- Analysis Looking at my

results a can see a significant increase in the rate of photosynthesis as the distanse decreases.All

of the results I was given show this pattern. In the experiment when the distance was $50\,\mathrm{cm}$ there

was not much photosythesis taken place only about 13 bubbles of oxygen were produced, but

when the desklamp was $0\,\mathrm{cm}$ away alot of photosythesis was occuring on average 184 bubles in

three minutes a quite substanchal difference from $50\,\mathrm{cm}$, overall it was a quite significant

increase. I belive This is because when the desklamp is close tho the pondweed more of the

pondweeds surface area has light energy shining upon it which means more photosythesis will

occur in a shorter time. The average no. of bubbles for different distances are bashfollows-

Looking at my results it is quite evident that the number of bubbles produced is much greater

when the distanse of the pondweed from the desklamp is less. This proves that my original

hypothesis was correct that "as the distance decreases of the desklamp from the pondweed $\,$

therefore more oxygen bubbles are produced". As i mentioned before this is because there is

more light energy shining on a greater surface area when the desklamp is closer so therefore

greater surface area equals more photosynthesis. Evaluation $\,$ I think that on the whole my

experiment was ok. The results i gathered were very odd i belive this is because of the poor quality of the pondwed we used. But with the set of results we were given we were

able to calculate acurate averages which followed my prediction. I belive our

calculate acurate averages which followed my prediction. I belive our measurments were about

as accurate as we could get usill oung the apparatus that we did. We experienced quite a few $\ensuremath{\mathsf{e}}$

problems throughout our experiment. These were first of all in our first experiment our

pondweed was not of a high standard and was photosynthesizing very slowly the only way we

could have got around this problem without using new pondweed would be to leave the

experiment for longer. Another problem we encountered was the change in temperature when

the desklamp was close to the pondweed because we could not do anything to ammend this we

had to accept any slight change in our results.another problem we faced was counting the

bubbles when the plant was photosythesising the bubbles were different sizes, but as talked about in my pelimenary data we overcame this problem by counting every individual bubble as one.