## The whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh'. Do you agree with this statement? In your answer refer to specific materials studied in class!

I thoroughly disagree with this issue that the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' for the reason that humour is a very imaginary theme. This is essentially because humour can be defined by example very differently by different people. While one person may find something incredibly humorous, another may find it boring, sad, angering, or sometimes disgustingly out of place. This is what makes defining humour such an achievement.

As a wide description of humour, it is everything that amuses you with laughter whether expressed physically or mentally. Humour is fun. Humour is functional as a way to express yourself. Laugh it up.

Humour is a wonderful thing that can lighten most people's hearts. If we can use humour to ease problems associated with anxiety, many people will be able to have a more stress-free life. Humour is a technique utilised to catch and keep our attention. Humour is entertaining while offering a serious criticism of our world.

I systematically disagree with this subject that the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' since when laughter exactly belittles people in today's society furthermore it also drives out some sort of a message. A perfect example of belittling people is in the course of the black humour. Black humour is when making fun of a tragic, or dangerous situations. When something initially seems funny but on reflection is quite sad or poignant. This type of humour makes us reflect on our own insensitivity. The situation of the horror of empty nest, showing the young bird has disappeared and the mother bird lack concern for it plight in the 'cartoon strip' demonstrates this type of humour.

Humour is the interpretation of civilization and nothing is funny except in relation to human beings. Animals, for instances, are only funny because they are cartoon strips of us. A lump of stone could not of itself be funny, but it can become funny if it hits a human being in the eye, or if it is carved into human likeness.

I entirely disagree with this topic that the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' since humour and laughter are such important parts of everyday life, and it's always so great to see that there are others out there who believe and understand and agree that we need to actively use humour in all aspects of our lives. Although the matter of affect is that these are not all the complexity regrading to the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' with the central issue is that it sends out a message, where the audience understands the implications of something a character says.

Another type of humour is sarcasm, which captures this issue to a further stride. Sarcasm is when something is said but the opposite meaning is intended. The intention is to hurt/offend. An example of sarcasm that we can evidently identify in the Newspaper Article 'Darcy a dead-set certainty against Mundine' composed by Mike Gibson. Where the journalist 'Mike Gibson' states, "The Man is fighting some hoper bum".

Irony can be as funny as other types of humour, where something is said or done that is opposite to, or has the opposite effect of, what is meant. For instances, the scene shown in the Simpsons US stamp. This is an example of irony because in seeking to punish Bart for wasting precious chalk Mrs Krabappel is actually doing the same thing. Only by insisting on punishment she is probably wasting a lot more chalk than Bart did.

I thoroughly disagree with this issue that the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' for the reason that humour can be a wonderful thing. It brings about issues that can make people laugh and think, as well as cry and reflect. The Simpsons is not only a program that makes you laugh. It encompasses lessons and great morals within each show. For example, Bart asks his father Homer, "Hey dad, can I have a sip of your beer?" Homer replies humorously, "Now son, you don't want to drink beer. That's for daddies and kids with fake I.D.'s" (The Springfield Files). I honestly believe that everyone attending middle school and high school will be able to understand what Homer was trying to say. He is obviously not approving that kids with fake I.D.'s should drink; he is merely being Homer and is making us laugh with his dim-witted comments. That is who Homer is. He is an unintelligent, brainless, and dense character that is undeniably hilarious.

In the story, "The Drunkard" the author Frank O'Connor uses a point of view to primarily reveal humour and irony. Using the first person point of view is more appropriate in order to reveal humour and irony as the main elements in this story. The main character Larry who is telling this story adds a more entertaining view. He gives the story irony from his own words and predictions. He also gives the story more humour because of his actions. Despite what he thought the day would be like with his father after the funeral he ends up being mistaken in an amusing way. The story told from the first person point of view reveals a more enjoyable story, regardless of whether the story's incidents were actually true or not.

Consequently, I comprehensively disagree with this issue that the whole purpose of humour is to 'make people laugh' for the reason that humour is not only just to make "people laugh" other than it entertains, furthermore recurrently in today's society humour is bring into play to disparage one an other.